Hi there,

I realize I am a little late in this process, but can see there have been a lot of good conversation points. Maybe relevant, maybe not- I would like to note, I live 1.2 miles from tent city by road and .3 miles as the crow flies.

One point that I find a little concerning is limiting a site that has hosted from hosting again for 12 to 18 months. It seems that by using the same site more frequently you allow tent city residents more consistency and a better chance at getting out. It seems the tent city could be better self-regulating and more able to help each other out through the consistency of location and having a cycle of rotation. It seems that the City of Sammamish and its residents could be more prepared to deal with tent city if the time periods and locations where it would stay would be known.

The second point I find a little concerning is the amount of control placed at the hands of nearby school administrations. I am trying to imagine what a school would request that is not already contained in this document. If we agree to rules that homeless encampments must follow, why add a layer of complexity? Shouldn't any rules that pertain to one school pertain to all? I do agree schools should be informed of incoming homeless encampments, but not that they have individual power to negotiate specific terms.

I do find the record keeping on who is living in the camps and background checks to be a great step in camp organization and neighboring safety. I also think the camp should be located near bus lines, as indicated the draft language, but I think a quarter mile is a little excessive in Sammamish. 1/2 to 1 mile is much more reasonable.

Thanks for publicizing this in the City of Sammamish newsletter, and for the website organization. I am impressed with how easy the documents were to access as well as how accessible it was for me to get educated on the current discussions and timeline for decisions on this issue.

-Andrea Smith